Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1924-5 (K.B)

File No. 10-24

Serial Nos. 8, 9, 21

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

Regarding Indebtedness of Mr Abdur Rahman

W/101

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

A careful search was made
for the Treasury Minutes referr
red to by the Member in the
Branch registers and no such
Complaint seems to have been
seriously received in the L
G. (P) Branch

31.10.24

3560
3/12/24

* N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted, on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No. [illegible] dated 5/11/24.
Requests to hold an enquiry into the matter confidentially and submit a report in the matter

14/11/24

do WCC 241 & No. 22 dated 15/23 from the Deputy Commissioner, Sangamner

Reports the publication complained of by Abdul Rahman with enclosures & states that Mr. Abdul Rahman has not replied his letter enquiring about the arrangement by him to satisfy the Civil Courts decrees standing against him.

& submits with reference.

Letter) 25/2/25

Copy received.

25/2

To Mr A Rahman Sangamner

Mr Rahman said there was a delay on account of his having stood as security & that he is trying to clear the amount before we might await date of sale. The fact remains that he has not replied to D.C's letters in this & other matters, & if

7054
4/3

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

5435

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D'Cruz appears dud
ask the Secy to send him
A. Rab. a confl letter
to say that Govt have
heard with regret from the
D.C that he has not
replied to the D.C. in the
pending matters in spite
of reminders; & that Govt
expect non-official
gentlemen to cooperate
~~with~~ in admn by
Secdrs refusing to comply
with prompt[illegible] de-
mand accdg to DC.

Lee
6/3/25

(1 Secy) —

~~before we wish to mass~~
~~decision this slip is meant to elicit~~

(2 Secy) before we wish, we must know
how to what slips in this letter
to case has M A ~~refused to~~ does not
~~comply with his request or~~ reply.
Has Govt any specific suggestions I
suppose in his meeting N Nursing
Why not in the allied Secys.
Blake Meeting case

Lee
5/3/25

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Yes, I am in the Secretariat
5/3

3435

G. R. S. III—31.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

13 The terms of office of Mr. Hebert Richmond, as President of the District Board of Bangalore will expire on 2nd October 1925.

(Sd.) (for Member) 11.3.25.

14 Dy Member

12/3/25

725 & 12/3

15 The letter proposed was not for clearing arrears, but for replying to Del Comm's letters. I think the Resident should reply all letters addressed to him in official capacity.

Sd 13/3/25.

16 Dewan

Sd 13/3

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
RECD. ON 17 MAR. 1925
REF. No 6858

17 Please note this Government's generally warm interest in the Bangalore Municipal Election.

Sd 19/3

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W. P. 1850—GPR—1,50,000—2-6-21

20/3

If Mr. Hamilton [illegible]

20/3.

74252

5435

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

1) Draft of a letter is sent for approval. HW 24325

24.3.25 (Draft Letter) HB 24/3

2) approved HB 26/3.

3) Draft HB 26/3

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
13 MAR 1925
LEGAL & REVN. BRANCH
373

4) Note 6946 of 30/3/25. To Mr. B. Abdur Rahman Esq. BA forwards copies correspondence and requests to comply with the requisitions of the Examiner.

B'

5) D.O. letter No. C.C. 241 of 13/1/1925 marked Confidential from the Dy. Comr. Bangalore inviting reference to his D.O. No. C.C. 241/24-25 of 6.1.1925 submitting a report on the indebtedness of Mr. Abdur Rahman, the Dy. Comr. states that Mr. Abdur Rahman has not yet replied to his letter of the 2-1-25 & to his subsequent reminder of 19-2-1925. The D.C. further states that as Mr. Abdur Rahman has not replied till now his explanation cannot be submitted as directed in this office D.O. of 5th Nov. 1924

Pse 25 19/5

9456 20/5

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this fo

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

9456

26 The draft in this
important letter the have
been done — & we have the difficulty
worded.

27 The position in
regard the Provisional has
not replied to the
Dft Comm Re
indebtedness of Govt to the
warned about his duty
to attend to questions
of the Dft Comm.
Is there any
provision in law or
precedent in such a case?

Re
21/5

21

28 There are no precedents to now is
any provision in the L.B.& N.P. Regn
Act under which
the President could be compelled to give information
in regard to the matter in question
vide Secs 32 & 36 of the L.B.& N.P. Regn

26.5.25

26/5/25 2. Secs

25/5.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

APP. F. III–2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L.B File No. 7403 6 of 19 24

Serial No.

30 On general principle, the Govt seem to have inherent power to require Govt servant to give information about matters touching his conduct as a person holding an office

31 In present case Govt may perhaps ask him to have the application completed & ret. If necessary a reminder might be sent after 2 weeks

9909 9.6.25

32 Send a reminder & say that Govt expect prompt compliance.

10/6. 11.6.

33 Draft prepared 11/6

34 Draft is submitted for approval. 15.6.25

35 Issued 16/6. 17.6

36 II reminder

37 Impressive

Remember one month hence after assets

38 Account a month hence from Shettar received 19.6

19/6/25

39 Done & send a reminder after 1 month if reply is not received by that time 15/6

10/27 18/6

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

LB File No. 10 of 19 24.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Sanction
96
26
Received on 26/7/25

Pincode at Bd Bangalore
L.9041. Date 25/6/25
Informs that the Post
expects a prompt
compliance to the
requisition of the Dy Comr of
Bangalore dt

Confidential.

No.C.C.241/25.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 9th September 1925.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No.L.1603 dated 3rd Sept. 1925 calling for the early submission of the report on the indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, President, District Board, Bangalore District, I beg to state that in spite of my repeated requisitions, Mr. Abdur Rahmon has not furnished his explanation. Your letter No.L.9041 dated 25-6-1925 addressed to Mr. Abdur Rahmon stating that Government expect a prompt compliance with the requisitions of the Deputy Commissioner in the matter has not elicited any reply from him till now.

2. As stated in my previous letter No.C.C.241/24 dated 6th January 1925 a suit was pending against Mr. Abdur Rahmon and another Omar Saheb for Rs.19,000 in the District Judge's Court, the plaintiffs in the suit being one Yajaman Chickaveerappa and his son Gurubasappa and as a result of the above suit the house of Mr. Abdur Rahmon was notified for sale. It is since ascertained that Mr. Abdur Rahmon's house in Benki Nawab Street, Kumbarapet, Bangalore City, was sold by Court auction for Rs.6,000/- on 10-8-1925 and his garden and lands in Bannerghatta road for Rs.2500/- on 11-8-1925 and that both these properties were purchased by the decree holders viz. Chickaveerappa and Gurubasappa.

3. I am again writing to Mr. Abdur Rahmon for furnishing his explanation and if a reply is received the same will be communicated to you without delay.

Yours sincerely,

B. Lakshmana Rao

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Depts.

2130

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D

Re Letter No. C.C. 241/24 & 21/22-Q. 25 from the Dy. Comr. Bangalore &

Submits in original letter of the 18th September 1925 from Mr. Abdul Rahman furnishing his explanation regarding his indebtedness.

Put for the ad interim reply No. C.C. 241/25 & Q. 10. 25 from the Dy Comr.,

The Dewan was pleased to minute as follows:-

"Seen. I think it may be desirable for the Asst to send for him and ask him about his pecuniary position."

(Sd) A. R. B.
13.10.25

Re the explanation of the President of the District Board now received in original from the Dy. Comr. Kolar for perusal and orders.

Para 4th of this note

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

20/10/25

24.10.25

G. R. S. III—5]

2130

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(4) The President wants to know (page 2 of this letter) the authority under which the enquiry was being made by the D.S.P. in the matter. Please see paras 30 and 31 of the notes in regard to this. In the last but one para of this letter, Mr. Abdul Rahman says that he shall be grateful waiting for a reply as he wants to approach His Highness the Maharaja Thro' the Minister.

L. Dalvi
28/10/25

28/10/25

28/10

29 I shall be glad if a recd endorsed typed note of instructions for the guidance of the Dewan & myself are made, & also an explanation why when the wording of my minute (no 16) was so clear the draft of the impost-D.O warning him was not submitted before issue. The meaning I wish in 16 — in depriving & in a case while usual Drafts are submitted in view of my language in 16, the discovery to make out why draft was not submitted. This is the only instance made in these cases & I regret. It is certainly open to question whether a public servant

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

has warrants of arrest given to the method enquiry the point. Ne 25/10/25

25/10/25

213D

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

50 A note is submitted as directed. The draft of 29/3/25 was not submitted as the matter was taken to be a routine one.

L. 2 Secs) 23 6/11/25

51 Submitted) 8d. 12/11

4433 12/11

To be reserved for 2 years. MD

52 Please type the very last minute in full & file above. Explain in the self explained note at the end

Noe 7/11/25

8d 13/11/25

53 Additions & alterations have been typed & submitted. 8d 13/11/25

54 It is submitted that if no non submission of the draft is considered as omission, this will be noted for guidance.

L. 2 Secs) 23 15/11

55 Submitted) 8d 19/11

Please see Remarks of the A.O. forgiving the subject a/a

Noe 21/11/25

4732 20/11

Wase.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips [illegible] the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on [illegible] this form

1130

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]







N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

N O T E.

In his petition dated 20th September 1924 one Munivenkatappa, contractor, residing in Kumbarpet, Bangalore city brought to the notice of Government the high indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, B.A., Non-official President of the District Board of Bangalore by producing a copy of the sale notification issued by the District ~~Magistrate~~ Judge of the Bangalore Division, announcing to the public the sale of the dwelling house in Kumbarpet, Bangalore city and dry lands on the Bannerghatta Road belonging to Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon in satisfaction of a decree for Rs.16030-9-0 -- passed in O.S. No. 38 of 19-20 pending on the file of the District Judge's Court and represented that it was not desirable to entrust him with the sole responsibility of the District Board.

This petition was submitted to the then 1st Member on 24th September 1924. He was pleased to minute as follows:-

"There was a similar petition against the President some time ago and a report was called for. Put up that file".

(Intd) M.H.H.
27-9-24

As no such petition was previously received in the matter, the papers were submitted to the 1st Member and his orders sought for as to whether a report might be called for. He then ordered as follows:-

"Yes. Enquire demi-officially from the D.C and he may be asked to send it with the explanation of Mr. Abdur Rahmon."

(Intd) M.H.H.
4-11-24

Accordingly a confidential D.O. letter was

p.t.o

issued to the Deputy Commissioner on 5th November 1924.

In his D.O. letter No.C.C.441/24-25 dated 23rd February 1925 the Deputy Commissioner replied that Mr. Abdur Rahmon had not replied to his letter requesting him to intimate the arrangement he had made to satisfy the Civil Court decree standing against him inspite of a reminder issued to him on 19th Jany. 1925.

When the file was submitted to the Second Member of Council, he was pleased to minute as follows:-

"Mr. Abdur Rahmon saw me this morning and said this was due on account of his having stood as surety and that he is trying to clear the amount and we may await the date of sale. The fact remains that he has not replied to D.C's letter in this and other matters and if Dewan approves, I would ask the Secretary to send him Mr. Abdur Rahmon a confidential letter to say that Government have heard with regret from the D.C. that he ~~was~~ did not replied to the D.C. in this and other matters in spite of reminders and that Government expect non-official gentlemen to co-operate in administration by sending replies to communications with promptitude and send a copy to D.C."

(Intd) K.C.
5-3-25

The file was accordingly submitted to the then Offg. Dewan, and he minuted as follows:-

"But before we write, we must be sure as to what steps we should take in case Mr. A.R. does not reply. His term of office will expire, I suppose, in the month of November. Why not we allow things to take their own course".

(Intd) M.H.H.
8-3-25

When the file was again submitted to the Second Member with information that the term of office of Mr. Abdur Rahmon, as President of the District Board would expire on 2nd October 1925, he was pleased to record as follows:-

"The letter proposed was not for clearing arrears, but for replying to Deputy Commissioner's letters. I think the President should reply to letters addressed in ~~the~~ an official capacity".

(Intd) K.C.
13-3-25

The file was again submitted to the Offg. Dewan He was pleased to direct as follows:-

"Please write to the President demi-officially and warn him that it is his duty to attend to the requisitions of the D.C."

Intd. M.H.H.
19-3-25

A D.O. letter was issued to the President of the District Board on 30th March 1925 warning him that it is his duty to attend to the requisitions of the Deputy Commissioner.

The Deputy Commissioner in his D.O. letter No.C.C. 241 dated 15/15th May 1925 reporting ~~that~~ that, as Mr. Abdur Rahmon had not yet replied to him in the matter, his explanation could not be submitted as directed. Therefore the 2nd Member was pleased to write as follows

"The draft in this important letter should have been sent to me and would have been differently worded.

The position is that the President has not replied to the Deputy Commissioner, Re. his indebtedness although warned by Government about his duty to attend to requisitions of the Deputy Commissioner.

Is there any provision in law or precedent in such a case?"

(Intd) K.C.
21-5-25

With reference to the above query, it was stated that there were no precedents nor was there any provision in the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation under which the President could be compelled to give information in regard to the matter in question -- (Vide Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Board and Village Panchayet Regulation).

Therefore the L & L Secretary minuted as follows:-

"On general principle the Government seem to have inherent power to require the President to -- give information on a subject touching his conduct as a person holding an office. In the present case the Government may perhaps be all right in asking him to give the explanation required by Deputy Commissioner. If necessary a reminder might be sent".

(Intd) B.N.
6-6-25

When the file was submitted to the Second -- Member, he was pleased to direct as follows:-

"Send a reminder and say that Government expect prompt compliance".

(Intd) K.C.
10-6-25

When the draft reminder was submitted to the Second Member, he was pleased to say as follows:-

"May issue. Ascertain a month hence from D.O. whether a reply received".

(Intd) K.C.
19-6-25.

With reference to the reminder issued from this office on 3rd September 1925, the Deputy Commissioner in his D.O. letter No.C.C.241/25 dated 9th September 1925 stated that inspite of his repeated requisitions, Mr. Abdur Rahmon has not furnished his explanation and that, as already reported, a suit was pending against Mr. Abdur Rahmon and another Omar Saheb for Rs.19,000/- in the District Judge's Court, that as a result of

the above suit the house of Mr. Abdur Rahmon was notified for sale, that it was since ascertained that Mr. Abdur Rahmon's house in Benki Nawab's street, Kumbarpet, Bangalore city was sold by -- Court auction for Rs.6,000/- on 10th September 1925 and his garden and lands in Bannerghatta road for Rs.2,500/- on 11th August 1925 and that both these properties were purchased by the decree-holders Viz. Chickaveerappa and Garubasappa.

This was submitted to the Second Member and he recorded as follows:-

"Please submit to Dewan for perusal".

(Intd) K.C.
17-9-25.

When this was accordingly submitted to the Dewan, he was pleased to minute as follows:-

"Seen. I think it may be desirable for the Government to send for him and ask him about his pecuniary position."

(Intd) A.R.B.
13-10-25

In the meanwhile the Deputy Commissioner forwarded with his D.O. letter No.C.C.241/24 dated 21/22nd September 1925 in original letter dated 18th September 1925 from Mr. Abdur Rahmon furnishing his explanation regarding his indebtedness.

When this was submitted to the Second Member, he was pleased to ask for a self contained note of important portions and has observed that the method of enquiring was not right and has enquired to know why the draft of 30th March 1925 was issued without submission to him.

A note is accordingly Submitted

I shall be glad if a self contained typed note of important portions of this and of all the minutes of permanent and offg. Dewan and myself are made, and also an explanation put up why when the wording of my minute (No. 10) was so courteous the draft of the important D.O. 'warning' him was not submitted before issue. The meaning of "warn" in 16 is ~~difficult~~ different and in any case, while trivial drafts are submitted, and in view of my language in 10, it is difficult to make out why draft was not submitted. This is the only mistake made in this correspondence, I think. It is certainly open to Government to enquire whether a public servant has warrants against him etc. The method of enquiry is the point.

Intd: K.C.
25-10-25

The draft of 29th March 1925 was not submitted as the matter was taken to be a routine one.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
2130
28. SEP. 1925

Confidential. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. C.C.241/24 Enclosure
Dated Bangalore, 21/22nd Sept. 1925.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.C.C.241/24 dated 9th Sept. 1925 re the indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, President of the District Board, I beg to submit herewith in original letter dated 18th September 1925 from Mr. Abdur Rahmon on the subject.

Yours sincerely,

B. Abdur Rao

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Depts.

SC
21/9/25

8

Respected Sir,

Many many thanks for your very kind confidential No. c.c.241/25 dated 9th September 1925, which I received on Friday the 11th instant at 11.30A.M, when I was about to start to attend the meeting of the Legislative Council. On Saterday morning I had to attend the Municipal Council meeting and I had an attack of severe head-ache and fever on Saturday afternoon and whole of Sunday, Monday and Tuesday, and I feel a little better today. Thus I could not reply you earlier and I humbly beg of you to be kind enough to pardon me for the delay which was beyond my control.

I thank you, Sir, for your generously allowing me the time of three days in your above confidential letter for a reply.

Thinking that the interference of Govt. Officers in the private family affairs of a Hon: Worker, whose honesty and fidelity had been tested on more than one occasion by the Govt. was uncalled for; and in the face of my having given in person an explanation in this connection to Mushirul Mulk Mr. Mir Humza Hussain Sahib and as he was satisfied, and recently as I had given similar explanation to Mr. K. Chandy after he was appointed as Second member of Council, I thought it best not to bother you with all the explanation necessary in this connection, and thus I did not send you any reply.

I beg to bring to your kind consideration again and even with force that this affair is purely a family one and in no way the interference of Govt. Officers would help me.

I am extremely sorry,Sir, that you on behalf of the Govt. of His Highness our beloved Maharaja, are insistently bent upon knowing a matter which has nothing to do with my public Work.

I may be pardoned,Sir, if I request you to be so kind enough as to inform me under what provision of which Regulation,the Govt.on behalf of which you are constantly writing to me confidential letters are empowered to interfere into the family affairs of a Hon'r worker. These are purely family affairs and your ~~in~~terference in a case like this, I am sorry to say, is against any law of decency. I may be pardoned again, if I ask you, how you are competent to enquire into the family affairs of a non-Official worker,which have nothing to do with any of the Public affairs with which he has any connection.

Now coming to the point under reference,Sir, I beg to inform you that my father-in-law Mr.Hessarghatta Oomar Sab,whom you may know or of whom you might have heard,had taken Contract to supply fuel and Charcoal to Kannambady project,and to construct the ~~Darein~~ Dam in Subbarayana Ghati. He was in need of money. He had borrowed a large sum from the Bank of Mysore and as he was in need of further amount to carry on his work,he wanted me to come to his rescue,as we were living together then. As his properties were mortgaged to Mysore Bank,he and I jointly barrowed about ₹14,000/- from the present decree-holder,at a time when I had nothing to do with Public Work.

My father-in-law sustained heavy losses in his Contract as so many other Contractors that had gone to Kannambady considering it to be an El-dorado

had sustained. The result was that I had to face an unpleasant situation - being one between a father-in-law and a son-in-law. With all our resources at our command,we paid to the last pie to the Bank of Mysore. You can ascertain it,if you care to do so. As the money market had become very tight owing to the outbreak of the Great European war,we had to sell some of our properties also and I sold one of my best lands in Kengeri for ₹10,000/- with the object of honestly paying the amount due to our creditors.

Just at a time when we had settled the accounts of many of our creditors and only very few were left, unfortunately I lost my wife and the feeling between my father-in-law and myself naturally began to wean away.

A few of those people who are raising a campaign against me and who are also the good friends of the present decree-holder,got a Civil suit filed against me and my father-in-law. A decree was obtained and the execution of this decree is the whole sum and substance of all my indebtedness upon which so much hue and cry is being raised. The amount of the decree is about ₹17,000/- We have paid him till now - ₹12,000/- The amount yet to be paid along with the interest may come to about ₹6,000/- or so,which amount is also under dispute as in this connection an appeal in the Chief Court filed by me is pending. Some of the chief causes of delay in the discharge of the decree amount is the strained feeling that has been created between myself and my father-in-law on account of the unfortunate untimely death of my wife, and owing to my trying to eke out as much money as possible from him in these strained circumstances. This is the only debt that I have to pay. It was Contracted

Contracted at a time when I had nothing to do with the Public Work and under peculiar family tie, and there are no other decrees against me. This can be ascertained from the Sub-Registrar's Office and from the several Civil Courts in the State.

What about so many non-official workers some of whom are overwhelmingly indebted and who are not in a position to pay even a small portion of their debts and some of whom even do not possess property worth a pie to call it their own? Is it ostentation, pretension or show that the Govt. like? If so,I am sorry I am not apt to this. I am a plain blunt fellow doing my work honestly and silently without pretending to make a show of what I have been doing all these days and also without making much ado about nothing.

I ask in turn,Sir, are there not Officers highly indebted,some of whose salaries are attached by the Civil Courts and some of whom even do not possess any property. Here I want to know how the Govt are disposed off towards such public workers and Officers who are groaning under heavy debts and against whom there are a large number of Civil cases and decrees of different amounts. Considering it to be ungentlemanly on my part to interfere into the private affairs of other people I refrain from saying one word more in this connection.

I own properties worth ₹ 30,000/- to ₹40,000/- My father-in-law,who is a rich land lord possessing extensive landed properties in Hessarghatta and other places paying a very large amount of assessment, owns properties worth nearly a lakh. You can get them valued if you want. Thus there is no trouble to the decree-holder to realise his decree amount to a pie

from either of us.

Is it a sin that I under certain circumstances unavoidable got entangled into debt and which till today I am discharging honestly without making any one of my creditors to suffer to a pie? Are not Govt. themselves borrowed money to the extent of 3½ crores of rupees? I think you also know the condition of money market all over the world.

To begin with in 1920 His Highness our beloved Maharaja was pleased to nominate me to the Mysore Legislative Council. And afterwards fortunately or unfortunately, the late lamented Sirdar Sir M.Kantaraj Urs, when he was Dewan, sent for me through late Mr. M.Subbiah, who was one of my best friends, and told me that he wants to nominate me to the City Municipal Council in place of Mr. B.Oosman Khan whom he did not ~~was going~~ want to nominate as there was something against him owing to Chaddar case, which had created certain amount of sensation at that time. I wish to know what I should have done then without quietly accepting the offer so generously made by the late Dewan Saheb.

I was nominated as a Municipal Councillor in 1921 and I was unanimously elected as a Vice President also on 21-1-1921. I have worked as an Hon'r Vice President of the City Municipality for a period of 15 months, under no less a person than late lamented Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi, than whom a more strict hard-working and honest Officer cannot be found in the whole State. I was in charge of the complete cash transactions amounting to thousands of rupees every day and I was also in full charge of the Office. I wish to know, what opinion the Govt. have formed of my work as a Vice President. If they wish to know about my work in the City Municipality, let them depute an

15

Officer to go into the City and ~~found~~ find out what opinion the people hold about my work.

While I was working as Vice President, the Govt. were pleased to nominate me as a member of the Bangalore District Board in 1922. Without any inclination, desire or aspiration on my part to become a public worker, it was owing to Kismat I think that I had to face all this. Late Mr. M. Subbiah was elected as Hon: President. Unfortunately he died after a few months. As I had taken much personal interest in his election, I had come in contact with almost all the members of the District Board. After Mr. Subbiah's death, many of the members of the District Board compelled me to stand for election in his place. You know the result. Some of those who had stood for election, on account of their defeat have made up their mind to vilify me, and some of their friends also have joined hands with them.

Coming to my work in the District Board, I was elected as a Hon: President of the Board, ~~or~~ and immediately there were reports against me by the Vice President direct to the Govt. These reports were entertained by them and you were deputed to hold an enquiry in the matter. After a full enquiry you submitted your report to the Govt. The facts about antidating etc may yet be fresh in your memory. Neither your report nor a copy of it has yet been supplied to me though I have many a time requested for the same in order to place the whole matter of the enquiry before the Board for its opinion as the members are very anxious to know about it.

You may be aware of my work as a President of the District Board. If not and if you care to know, you can depute one of your Officers to find out,

Bree

14

what the general public in the District think of me and of my work.

Soon after I put my appearance in the field of Public Work, an offer was made to me to become a member of Praja Mitra Mandali. I refused,saying,that I do not want to work against the interests of any Caste or Community as I wanted to be a public worker with no vested interests,as the first call to public duty was from my beloved Sovereign,who graciously nominated me to the Legislative Council in the face of lot of opposition from all around and at a time, when I was unknown in the Public Life. Some of the State Officers also began to hate me,because I refused to join the Mandali and to dance to their tunes. A regular campaign has been set up against me with the main object of worrying and defaming me.

I know that all this hubbeb is chiefly due to a number of pseudonymous and ananymous petitions that are being regularly received by the Govt.These petitioners,taking advantage of a little encouragement given to them some time back by the Govt. and by you, by entertaining their petitions,have become bold enough and I am sure that this state of affairs will continue until such petitions are entertained, for which I am in no way responsible.

On the strength of some of these petitions, the Govt. ordered a detail audit by the efficiency Audit Department. The Superintendent audited the accounts etc.in its every detail and submitted his report to the Govt. A very few selected paras of this report were sent to me. Then I wrote to Mr.Mir Hamza Hussain more than once,to send the complete report in order to enable me to place the same before the Board,but it was not done. After Mr. K.Chandy took charge of the Local Self Government Portfolio,

I requested him to send the same and he was kind enough to send the complete report some time back.

The auditors of the Comptroller's Office are auditing the accounts of the District Board every year regularly. Even to-day the Assistant Comptroller is auditing the accounts. I wish to know, whether the Govt. with the exception of a few Pseudonymous and ananymous petiitons regularly sent to them by a few timid, irresponsible and jealous persons who do not like me and my honest work, have come across a single instance of any serious irregularity or defalcation or embezzlement or misappropriation or any short coming in the discharge of my public duty. I shall be very thankful to you and to the Govt. Sir, if some information is furnished to me on this.

I am sorry if the Govt. are not yet satisfied or convinced of my work both in the City Municipality and the District Board, even after your enquiry and report, detail audit by the Efficdency Audit Dept., the yearly audit of the Comptroller's Office and after my explanations and reports on several petitions that were referred to me by you and the Govt. on different Occasions, in furnishing which I have been wasting lot of my most valuable time, which I should have better utilised for the good of the people.

The whole trouble is about a single decree of ₹s 17000/- of which ₹s 12000/- have been paid till now and about ₹s 6000/- have yet to be paid on properties and securities worth nearly about a lakh and a half. As long as I am honest and clean handed and as long as I do everything in accordance to the dictates of my conscience, I need not fear any false imputations against me.

16

I am sorry,Sir, I have been forced by your constant confidential letters to write to you such a long explanation, in order to make you understand the real situation clearly.

If you want any further information I shall be very glad to furnish you the same as far as possible at a moments' notice.

Another point that I wish to bring to your kind notice is that even if I lose all my properties in paying honestly to a pie to the present decree - holder,I am yet a graduate possessing qualification enough to stand for election for any public body without any property qualification,unlike some other public workers, whose Ostentation,pretension and show have kept the Govt. in dark. I am now an elected member of both Legislative Council and the City Municipal Council. I shall be anxiously waiting for a reply from you,Sir, as I want to approach our benign Sovereign through His Highness' Private Secretary in this connection.

Lastly I beg of you,Sir, and the Govt. to excuse me for such a long letter,which was unavoidable and was most necessary to bring you home all the facts.

I beg to remain

Respected Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

B A Rahman

18.9.25

To,

B. Venkoba Rao Esqr,B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

B a n g a l o r e.

App.F.VI·G1.] B650

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(C & S. 9)

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 29/25

Signed by Mr. T.C.S.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Confidential Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. C 1603.

To .....

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite ref
to your D.O. letter No. C 3432/C.R. W
-9 8 dt. 8th Nov. 1924 and to req
you to kindly direct the Kundg
early submission of your report
on the indebtedness of Mr. B
Abdur Rahman, B.A., Pagi
District Board, to Bangalore.

Yours faithfully
HM
22-8

To,

D. Venkobarao Esq B.A.,
Dy Comr Bangalore Dt.

over

App.F.VI-G1.J

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to 54352

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L.S.G.)
, the 192

Date of issue 25-6-25

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Confidential

Section L.B
File No. 6-24
Serial No. 28

No. L9041

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to my D.O. letter No. L6946/L.B. 6-24-21 of the 20th March 1925 about your indebtedness and to ~~request you~~ inform you that Govt. expect a prompt compliance to the requisitions of the Dy. Comr. of the Bangalore District in the matter.

Yours faithfully

To. B. Abbas Rahimoon Esq B.A.
President, District Board of Bangalore
Thro' the Dy. Comr., Bangalore D.

15-6-25

Confidential.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

No.C.C.241/24-25

Dated Bangalore, 13/15th May 1925.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
7423
19 MAY 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

Dear Sir,

With reference to your reminder No.L.7880/L.B. dated 5-5-1925 asking me to expedite the submission of a report on the indebtedness of Mr. Abdur Rahmon, President, Dist. Board, I beg to invite your kind reference to my confidential D.O.No.C.C.241/24-25 dated 6th January 1925 submitting a report on the subject. As stated in my letter No.C.C.241/24-25 dated 23rd February 1925, Mr. Abdur Rahmon has not replied to my letter dated 2nd January 1925 and to the subsequent reminder dated 19th January 1925 requesting him to let me know what arrangements he has made to satisfy the Civil Court decree standing against him. As he has not replied till now his explanation cannot be submitted as desired by you in your letter of the 5th November 1924.

Yours sincerely,

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments.

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

D

Section C.B

File No. C-24

Diary No. of letter replied to 54357 Confidential Serial No. 21

No. L 6946

Encl. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L. S.)

, the 192

Date of issue. 30. 3. 1925

Signed by mr. B. Nagappa

Dear Sir,

In forwarding copies of the letters nos C C 241/24-25 of the 6th Jany and 23rd Feb 1925 from the Dy Comr, Bangalore District regarding your indebtedness, I am desired to say that Govt have heard with regret from the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist that you have not responded to him in this and other matters inspite of timely warnings and reminders and that you are therefore cautioned to warn you that it is your duty to attend

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

promptly
& the requisitions of the Dy
Comr promptly issued in his official
capacity.

Yours Sincerely

To. B. Abdul Rahman Esq B.A.
President, District Board
of Bangalore.

24.8.95

5435½
25.2.25.

L 10-24-9

Confidential.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. C.C. 24/24-25 Encl. 3.

Dated Bangalore, 23rd February 1925.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your reminder No.L.6088/L.B. dated 18th February 1925 and in continuation of my letter No.C.C.241/24-25 dated 6th January 1925 about the indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, President, District Board, Bangalore District, I beg to state that Mr. Abdur Rahmon has not replied to my letter requesting him to let me know what arrangements he has made to satisfy the Civil Court decree standing against him inspite of a reminder issued to him on 19-1-1925.

Put up all the previous papers & 24/2

2. The petition sent with your letter No.L.3432/L.B.10-24-9 dated 5th November 1924 together with its 2 enclosures is returned herewith.

Yours sincerely,

B. Venkoba Rao

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative
Departments.

2

2132 L.
24.9.24.

22 SEP 1924

To,

The Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

2905
26/9.

Petitioner:- Muni Venkatappa, Contractor,
Kumbarpet, Bangalore city.

LB 10-24-8

The most Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to state the brief history of Mr. B. Abdur Rakeman, the existing president, Bangalore District Board, who has involved in high indebtedness.

For instance I beg to enclose herewith for your kind perusal the sale notifications issued from the District Judge's Court. Bangalore, No. G S. 38 of 1920. Ex: 14 of 23-2-24, for Rupees 16,030-0-0, one for the sale of his dwelling house in Kumbarpet, Bangalore city and the other for a dryland in Bannerughatta road. These have been duly notified by beat of tom-tom and copy posted on the doors of his dwelling also.

3. From this the other things may be very kindly judged, how far he is reliable for sole responsibility in the Board

The office is entirely left.

left to the clerks management and the President is put up tear off in a village on account of debts.

5. If so it may be immagined how the office affairs would be attended.

I beg to remain,

The most respected Sir.

Your Honour's most obedient Servant,

Bangalore city,
Dated 20 Sept. '24.

ತಮ್ಮ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ವಿಧೇಯ ಸೇವಕ,
ಮಾಸ್ತಿ.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2/32/2

Section L.B.

File No. 10–24

Serial No 9

do No. L 3432

Encl 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L.S.G.)

, *the* 191

Date of issue 5/11/24

Signed by Hon Rdn

Dear Sir,

In forwarding herewith Section 4 of 20th Sept 1924 together with its two enclosures received from Mr. Munivenkatappa, Contractor, Kumbalgudi, Bangalore city, regarding the indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdul Rahman, President of the District Board of Bangalore, I am desired to request you to kindly hold an enquiry into the matter confidentially and to submit a

over.

a report ~~...~~
explanation of Mr. Abdul Rahiman
in this matter. The petition may be
returned with the reply.

Yours sincerely
Sd/-

To.

R. Venkoba Rao Esq B.A,
Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dist.

[illegible]

List of enclosures.

Copied by
Examined by

ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಬಾಬತು ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರ
ಆ. 21. ನಿ. 66.

ನಮೂನೆ ನಂ. 42. ಸಿವಿಲ್

— ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ.

ಸ್ಪೆ 1923 —1924 ಇಸವಿಯ ಎಗ್ಸಿಜ್ಯದ ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ

ಈ ಮೇರ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಹೊಂದಿದವನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ತಾರೀಖುವರೆಗೆ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಸಮೇತ ಆಗುವ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು 1603-4-0 ರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತೀ ಮಾನಿನೋಣವೆ 192 ನೆ ಇಸವಿಯ ರ ಪೈಸೆ 19 ಪೈ ಉಂಟಾದ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಸಮಾಧಾನಪಡಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದ ~~ಹಿಡಿ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ~~ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸಿಡುವಬಗ್ಗೆ 1911ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಕೋಡಿನ 21ನೆಯ ಆರ್ಡರಿನ 64ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದಾರೆಂಬ ಇದರಿಂದ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ನೆನ್ನೆ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜಾಗುವಲಕವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಿರುವ ಲಾಟ್ (ಅಂಶ)ಗಳಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಬೇಕು. ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಮೇಲೆ ಜೇಳಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ದೇಣೀದಾರರ ಬಾಬತು ಇದರಡಿಯ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂನಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ವಿಕ್ರಯವಾ ಗಿರುವುದೂ ಮತ್ತು ಸದರಿ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಇರುವಂಥ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮಾಡಲಿ ಕ್ಕಾಗುವಷ್ಟರಮಟ್ಟಿನ ಸಾಲಸೋಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಬಾಧೆಗಳು ಪ್ರತಿ ಲಾಟಿನ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವುಗಳಾಗಿ ರುತ್ತವೆ.

ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆನಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಹುಕುಮಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಜೆಸ್ತ ಪಲ್ಲಿ 24-9-1924 ವಕೀಲರಲ್ಲಿ ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಪುರುವಾಗುವ ಮಾಜೇನರ್ ವಸೂರಾಟದಲ್ಲಿ ರೀತಿ ನಡೆ ಸ್ಪಡುವದು. ಆದರೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ಸಾಲವನ್ನೂ ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಲಾಟಿನ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಬಿಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜನರೂ ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ಅಥವಾ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗೊಳಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಮುಖ್ತಾರೀಕನ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ವಿಕ್ರಯದಲ್ಲಿ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾದ ಅನುಮತಿ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದ ವಿನಾ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ದೇಣೀದಾರರಿಂದ ಯಾ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಯಾವ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನಾದರೂ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನವು.

ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಪರತ್ತುಗಳು.

1. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ತಪ್ಸೀಲುಗಳು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದು ಇರುವ ವರ್ತಮಾನದಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಮೂದಿಸಲಾಗಿವೆ, ಆದರೆ ಈ ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಹೊವುದೊಂದು ತಪ್ಪು, ತಪ್ಪು ಜೇಕೆ, ಯಾ ಲೋಪಕ್ಕೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ದರಖಾಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗಿನಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮಾರಾಟ ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಯಾ ದರಖಾಸ್ತುದಾರನು ಯಾರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ವಿವಾದವಾದರೂ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಲಾಟಿನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಇನ್ನೊಂದುಸಾರಿ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

3. (ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಲಾಟಿನ್ನು) ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ಮೊಬಲಗಿಗೆ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡುವವನನ್ನು ಆ ಲಾಟಿನ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು; ಆದರೆ, ಅವನು ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆ ಉಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಮತ್ತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದ ಕ್ರಯವು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯುಕ್ತವಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ತೋರಿಬಂದರೆ ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನಾದರೂ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸದೆ ನಿರಾಕರಿಸುವುದು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಯಾ ಆಫೀಸರ ಮುನಾಸಬ್ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಅಗಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

4. 21ನೆಯ ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲಿನ 69ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ವಿಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಅಜ್ಞರ್ಿಮಾಡುವಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಬಬುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುನಾಸಬು ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಅಜ್ಞರ್ಿ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

5. ಚರಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿ ಲಾಟಿನ ಕ್ರಯವನ್ನೂ ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ವಿಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ಅಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸುವ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ತದನಂತರ ಯಾವ ಸಮಿಪದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಮತ್ತು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಇನ್ನೊಂದುಸಲ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಿ ಪುನಃ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

6. ಸ್ಥಿರಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಮನುಷ್ಯನು ತನ್ನ ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದ ವೊಬಲಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೂರಕ್ಕೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದನಂತರ ತತ್ಕ್ಷಣವೇ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರ ವಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಗೆ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೆ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

7. ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದ ಪೂರಾ ವೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿದಾರನು ಸ್ವತ್ತು ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ತಾರೀಖಿನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಅನಂತರದ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಮುಚ್ಚುವುದರೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಅಥವಾ ಆ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನವು ಆದಿತ್ಯವಾರ ಯಾ ಬೇರೆ ರಜಾದಿವಸ ವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನದನಂತರ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಥಮದ ಆಫಿಸ್ ಇರುವ ದಿನ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

8. ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ವಾಯಿದೆಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದದ್ದನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಹೊಸ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ ಪುನಶ್ಚ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಆ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಮಾರಾಟದ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನು ವಜಾಮಾಡಿ ಉಳಿದದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರಿಗೆ ಯುಕ್ತವೆಂತ ತೋರಿದರೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ದಂಡವಾಗಿ ಹಿಡಿಯಬಹುದು ಮತ್ತು ತಪ್ಪಿದ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನು ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಯಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಅನಂತರ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸಬಹುದಾದ ವೊಬಲಗಿನ ಯಾವು ದೊಂದು ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನೂ ಕಳೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

192 4 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ತಿಂಗಳು ಇವೇ 7 ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟು ವೊಹರ್ ನಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ದಸ್ಕತ್ತಿನೊಡನೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಜಡ್ಜ್.

ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲು.

ಲಾಟಿನ ನಂಬರು	ಒಬ್ಬನಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಂದಿ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ದೇಣ್ಣೇದಾರರು ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಮಾಲೀಕನ ಹೆಸರು ನೀವು ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ವಿವರಣೆ	ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಪಾವತಿ ಆಗುವ ಒಂದು ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಭಾಗದೊಳಗಣ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ಆಸ್ತಿಯವೀಲೆ ಯಾ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಭಾಗದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಾಕಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕಂದಾಯ.	ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಸಾಲದ ತಪ್ಪಿಲು	ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ದಾವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ತರುವುದಾದರೆ ಅಂಥಾ ದಾವೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸ್ವಭಾವ ಮತ್ತು ಕಿಮ್ಮತ್ತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸುವ ಇತರ ಯಾವುವಾದರೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು

WD 02461—GPB—35,701—4-9-28

ವಿಕ್ರಯದ್ದ ಬಾಬತು ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರ್
ಆ. 21. ನಿ. 66.

ನಮೂನೆ ನಂ. 42 ಸಿವಿಕ್.

ಸಕ 192 ರ —192 ಳ ಇಸವಿಯ ನೈಜ್ಯದ ನಂಬರು.

ನಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ.

ಈ ಪಾರ್ಶದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಹೊಂದಿದವನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ತಾರೀಖುವರೆಗೆ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಬಡ್ಡಿ ಸಮೇತ ಆಗುವ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು 16030-4-ಕ್ಕೆ ಉಂಟಾದ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಪಡಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದ ~~ಜಪ್ತುಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ~~ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ 1911ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್ ಕೋಡಿನ 21ನೆಯ ಆರ್ಡರಿನ 64ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯಿಂದ ಇದರಿಂದ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾದ ಹರಾಜು ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ಲಾಟ್ (ಅಂಶ)ಗಳಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಬೇಕು. ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ದೇಣೀದಾರರ ಬಾಬತು ಇದರಡಿಯ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂನಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರವ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ವಿಕ್ರಯ ವಾಗಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಸದರೀ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಇರುವೆಂಥಾ ಗೊತ್ತು ಮೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕಾಗುವಷ್ಟರಮಟ್ಟಿನ ಸಾಲಸೋಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ದಾವೆಗಳು ಪ್ರತಿ ಲಾಟಿನ ಎದುರಿಗೆ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದವುಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಮುಂದರಿಸಿಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಹುಕುಂ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ದಲ್ಲಿ 22-9-21 ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವ ಮಾಹೇವಾರ್ ಮೊರಾಟೆದಲ್ಲಿ ರಂದು ನಡಿಸಲ್ಪಡುವುದು. ಆದರೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಲಾಟಿನ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಮುಂಚೆ ಹಾಜರು ಪಡಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಬಿಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಸಾಧಾರಣವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಜನರೊ ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ಅಥವಾ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗೊಳಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಮುತಾಲೀಕನ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ವಿಕ್ರಯದಲ್ಲಿ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಬಹುದು, ಆದರೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾದ ಅನುಮತಿ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದವಿನಾ ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಲೇಣೀದಾರರಿಂದ ಯಾ ಅವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಯಾವ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನಾದರೂ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಥವಾ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಕ್ರಯವು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನವು.

ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು.—

1. ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವ ತಪ್ಸೀಲುಗಳು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿದು ಇರುವ ವರ್ತಮಾನದ ಮೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ, ಆದರೆ ಈ ಇಸ್ತಿಹಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ತಪ್ಪು ತಪ್ಪು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಯಾ ಲೋಪಕ್ಕೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರಾಗವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

2. ದರಖಾಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟುವೊಬಲಿಗಿನಿಂದ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ ಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮೊರಾಟ ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಯಾ ದರಸ್ತುದಾರನು ಯಾರು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ವಿವಾದವಾದರೂ ಹುಟ್ಟಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಲಾಟನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಇನ್ನೊಂದುಸಾರಿ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

3 (ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಲಾಟನ್ನು) ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ಮೊಬಲಗಿಗೆ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡುವವನನ್ನು ಲಾಟಿನ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು; ಆದರೆ, ಅವನು ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆಯುಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಮತ್ತು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದ ಕ್ರಯವು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯುಕ್ತವಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ತೋರಿಬಂದರೆ ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ದರಖಾಸ್ತನ್ನಾದರೂ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸದೆ ನಿರಾಕರಿಸುವುದು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಯಾ ಆಫೀಸರ ಮುನಾಸಬ್ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

4. 21ನೆಯ ಆರ್ಡರಿನ 69ನೆಯ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಯ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಯಾವಾಗಲೂ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಅಡ್ಜರ್ನ ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಬಬುಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿಟ್ಟು ತಮ್ಮ ಮುನಾಸಬ್ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಅಡ್ಜರ್ನ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು.

5. ಚರಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಲಾಟಿನ ಕ್ರಯವನ್ನೂ ವಿಕ್ರಯದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರು ಆಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸುವ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ತದನಂತರ ಯಾವ ಸಮೀಪದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಾದರೂ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದು. ಮತ್ತು ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಇನ್ನೊಂದುಸಲ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಿ ಪುನಃ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

6. ಸ್ಥಿರಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸಂಗತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಮನುಷ್ಯನು ತನ್ನ ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೂರಕ್ಕೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಡಿಪೊಜಿಟ್ಟನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ನಂತರ ತತ್ಕ್ಷಣವೇ ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ನಡಿಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರ ವಶಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಮತ್ತು ಹಾಗೆ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕತಕ್ಕದು.

7. ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದ ಪೂರಾ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿದಾರನು ಸ್ವತ್ತು ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ತಾರೀಖನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಆನಂತರದ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಮುಚ್ಚುವುದರೊಳಗ್ಗಾಗಿ ಅಥವಾ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನವು ಆದಿತ್ಯವಾರ ಯಾ ಬೇರೆ ರಜಾ ದಿವಸವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ಹದಿನೈದನೆಯ ದಿನದನಂತರ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಥಮದ ಆಫೀಸು ಇರುವ ದಿನ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

8. ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ವಾಯಿದೆಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತನ್ನು ವಿಕ್ರಯವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಹೊಸನೋಟಿಸನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ ಪುನಶ್ಚ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಆ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಮಾರಾಟ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳನ್ನು ವಜಾಮಾಡಿ ಉಳಿದಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರಿಗೆ ಯುಕ್ತವೆಂತ ತೋರಿದರೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ದಂಡವಾಗಿ ಹಿಡಿಯಬಹುದು ಮತ್ತು ತಪ್ಪಿದ ಖರೀದಿದಾರನು ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಯಾ ಅದನ್ನು ಆನಂತರ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸಬಹುದಾದ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

[illegible] ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ತಿಂಗಳು ಇದೇ 7 ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲು ಕೋರ್ಟು ಮೊಹರ್ ನಿಶೀ ನಮ್ಮ ದಸ್ತಖತ್ತಿನೊಡನೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಜಡ್ಜ್.

ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್

ಲಾಟಿನ ನಂಬರು	ಒಬ್ಬನಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಂದಿ ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ದೇಣೀದಾರರು ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರ ಹೆಸರು ನಿಶೀ ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಸತ್ತಿನ ವಿವರಣೆ	ವಿಕ್ರಯಿಸತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಪಾವತಿ ಆಗುವ ಒಂದು ಆಸ್ತಿ ಯಾ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಭಾಗದೊಳಗಣ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಭಾಗದಮೇಲೆ ಹಾಕಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಕಂದಾಯ	ಆಸ್ವತ್ತು ಗುರಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೊಂದು ಸಾಲದ ತಪ್ಸೀಲು	ಸ್ವತ್ತಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ದಾವೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ತರುವುದಾದರೆ ಅಂಥಾ ದಾವೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಆಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸ್ವಭಾವ ಮತ್ತು ಕಿಮ್ಮತ್ತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸುವ ಇತರ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು
(1) [illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 6000-00 [illegible]

Very Confidential. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

No. C.C.241/24-25 Bangalore, 6th January 1925.

Camp Rajanukunte, Bangalore Tq., 7th Jan. 1925.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT. 44582 18-1925 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

Dear Sir,

With reference to your confidential D.O.No.L.3432/L.B.10-24-9 dated 5th November 1924 forwarding a petition from one Mr. Munivenkatappa, Contractor, Kumbarpet, Bangalore City, about the indebtedness of Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, President of the District Board and desiring me to submit a report after an enquiry, I beg to state that on receipt of your reference I issued a notice from the Confidential Branch to Mr. Munivenkatappa to call on me on the 18th November 1924. He did not appear, but sent a reply to the effect that he was not the author of the petition and that he has nothing to complain against Mr. Abdur Rahmon. Apparently the petition is pseudonymous.

2. From my subsequent enquiries it is ascertained that there is a suit pending against Mr. Abdur Rahmon and another Omar Saheb for Rs.19,000/- and odd in the District Judge's Court, the ~~plaintiffs~~ plaintiffs being one Yajaman Chickaveerappa and his son Gurubasappa and that as a result of the aforesaid suit Mr. Abdur Rahmon's house is notified for sale on the 12th and 13th January 1925. As it is desired by Government that the explanation of Mr. Abdur Rahmon should also be obtained and submitted to Government with my report, I have addressed Mr. Abdur Rahmon on the subject and his reply is awaited. On receipt of his reply, a further report will be submitted.

Yours sincerely,

B. Venkoba Rao

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative Departments.

G. R. S. III—5]

50

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

2130C

The following papers connected
this was submitted by the Surveyor with
1845C on 21-9-25 and the same has
not till now been received back.
If approved this will avail-
ment of the main file.
Yr
6.10.25 HK
10105 1.2 Secy) WB 12/10
4/10
14.10.
WJ

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form